arXiv:1612.05912v1 [math.AG] 18 Dec 2016

The Geometry of the Artin-Schreier-Mumford Curves over an Algebraically Closed Field

Gábor Korchmáros, Maria Montanucci

Keywords: Algebraic curves, algebraic function fields, positive characteristic, automorphism groups.

Subject classifications: 14H37, 14H05.

Abstract

For a power q of a prime p, the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve $ASM(q)$ of genus $\mathfrak{g} = (q-1)^2$ is the nonsingular model $\mathcal{X}$ of the irreducible plane curve with affine equation $(X^q + X)(Y^q + Y) = c$, $c \neq 0$, defined over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic p. The Artin-Schreier-Mumford curves are known from the study of algebraic curves defined over a non-Archimedean valuated field since for $|c| < 1$ they are curves with a large solvable automorphism group of order $2(q-1)q^2 = 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}+1)^2$, far away from the Hurwitz bound $84(\mathfrak{g}-1)$ valid in zero characteristic; see [2, 3, 4]. In this paper we deal with the case where $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. We prove that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ of all automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ fixing $\mathbb{K}$ elementwise has order $2q^2(q-1)$ and it is the semidirect product $Q \rtimes D_{q-1}$ where Q is an elementary abelian group of order q^2 and D_{q-1} is a dihedral group of order $2(q-1)$. For the special case $q = p$, this result was proven by Valentini and Madan [13]; see also [1]. Furthermore, we show that $ASM(q)$ has a nonsingular model $\mathcal{Y}$ in the three-dimensional projective space $PG(3, \mathbb{K})$ which is neither classical nor Frobenius classical over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we work out a purely geometric approach to the study of Artin-Schreier-Mumford curves over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic p. We construct a nonsingular model $\mathcal{Y}$ of $ASM(q)$ of degree $2q$ in the three-dimensional projective space $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and show that the linear series g^3_{2q} cut out on $\mathcal{Y}$ by planes is complete. As a consequence, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(ASM(q))$ of $ASM(q)$ fixing $\mathbb{K}$ elementwise is linear in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ as it is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ which leaves the pointset of $\mathcal{Y}$ invariant. Using this isomorphism we prove that $\mathrm{Aut}(ASM(q))$ is a solvable group of order $2(q-1)q^2 = 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}+1)^2$ as it happens over a non-Archimedean valuated field for $|c| < 1$. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(ASM(q))$ is quite large compared with its genus. In fact, if $\mathcal{X}$ is any ordinary curve of genus $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{X}) \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{K}$ of odd characteristic, and G is a solvable group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ fixing $\mathbb{K}$ elementwise, then $|G| \leq 34(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{X})+1)^{3/2}$; see [11].

We also point out that our nonsingular model $\mathcal{Y}$ has some unusual properties, namely it is neither classical nor Frobenius classical over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

2 Background and Preliminary Results

The prime field of $\mathbb{K}$ is the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\mathbb{K}$ contains a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^i}$ for every $i \geq 1$. In particular, $\mathbb{F}_q$ and its quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ are subfields of $\mathbb{K}$, and the trace function $\mathrm{Tr}(x) = x^q + x$ is defined for every $x \in \mathbb{K}$.

By a little abuse of notation, the term of Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve is also used for its plane model $\mathcal{C}_q$ given by the curve of degree $2q$ with affine equation

$$(X^q + X)(Y^q + Y) = c,\ c \in \mathbb{K}^*. \tag{1}$$

We collect some basic facts on Artin-Schreier-Mumford curves. The curve $\mathcal{C}_q$ has exactly two singular points, namely X_∞ and Y_∞, both have multiplicity q. They are ordinary singularities, and $\mathcal{C}_q$ has genus $\mathfrak{g} = (q-1)^2$. The tangents at X_∞ are the horizontal lines with equation $Y = d$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(d) = 0$, and there exist exactly q (linear) branches of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at X_∞. They form a set Ω_1 of size q. Similarly, the tangents at Y_∞ are the vertical lines with equation $X = d$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(d) = 0$, and the set Ω_2 of the (linear) branches of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at Y_∞ has size q.

The following linear maps are automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_q$

$$\varphi_{\alpha,\beta,v}(X, Y) = (vX + \alpha, v^{-1}Y + \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta, v \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}(\beta) = 0, \quad v^{q-1} = 1. \tag{2}$$

Here, $\Delta = \{\varphi_{\alpha,\beta,1} | \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}(\beta) = 0\}$ is an elementary abelian group of order q^2. Its subgroup $\Delta_1 = \{\varphi_{\alpha,0,1} | \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = 0\}$ has order q and acts as a sharply transitive permutation group on the set of all tangents to $\mathcal{C}_q$ at Y_∞ (and hence on the set of the branches centered at Y_∞) while it leaves invariant every tangent at X_∞ (and hence every branch centered at X_∞). Analogous results hold for the subgroup $\Delta_2 = \{\varphi_{0,\beta,1} | \beta \in \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Tr}(\beta) = 0\}$ whenever the roles of X_∞ and Y_∞ are interchanged. Also, $C = \{\varphi_{0,0,v} | v^{q-1} = 1\}$ is a cyclic group of order $q-1$, and Δ together with C generate a group $\Delta \rtimes C$ of order $q^2(q-1)$.

A further linear map which is an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_q$ is the involution

$$\xi(X, Y) = (Y, X) \tag{3}$$

which interchanges X_∞ and Y_∞. It fixes each point $P(a, a)$ of $\mathcal{C}_q$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(a)^2 = c$. The group generated by C and ξ is a dihedral group D_{q-1} of order $2(q-1)$, and the group generated by the above linear maps is the semidirect product $\Delta \rtimes D_{q-1}$. Each linear map which is an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_q$ fixing $\mathbb{K}$ elementwise belongs to $\Delta \rtimes D_{q-1}$.

Up to a field isomorphism over $\mathbb{K}$, the function field $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{X})$ is $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$ with $(x^q + x)(y^q + y) - c = 0$. In particular, $\Delta \rtimes D_{q-1}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{X}))$. The q branches centered at X_∞ correspond to q places of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{X})$, say $P_1, \ldots, P_q$, and $\Delta \rtimes C$ leaves $\Omega_1 = \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ invariant. Analogously, $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{X})$ has q places, $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$, arising from the branches centered at Y_∞, and $\Omega_2 = \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_q\}$ is left invariant by $\Delta \rtimes C$. The involution ξ interchanges Ω_1 with Ω_2.

If $\mathcal{C}_q$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, that is, $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we may assume $c = 1$. For this particular case the following results hold.

If p is odd, a (nonsingular) point $P(u, v) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u = \alpha + i\beta, v = \gamma + i\delta$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ where $i^2 = s$ with a non-square element s of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $i^q = -i$. From (1), $(u^q + u)(v^q + v) = 4\alpha\gamma = 1$ whence $\gamma = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha)^{-1}$. The number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational points of $\mathcal{C}_q$ (and $\mathcal{X}$) counts $(q-1)q^2 + 2q$ where the term $2q$ counts the number of linear branches centered at X_∞ or Y_∞.

If $p = 2$, a (nonsingular) point $P(u, v) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $u = \alpha + i\beta, v = \gamma + i\delta$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ where $i^2 = i + s$ with a category one element $s \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $i^q = i + s$; see [9]. From (1),

$(u^q+u)(v^q+v)=\beta\delta=c$ whence $\delta=\beta^{-1}c$. As for $p>2$, the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational points of $\mathcal{C}_q$ (and $\mathcal{X}$) equals $(q-1)q^2+2q$.

Take a point $P(a,a)\in\mathcal{C}_q$ with $a\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then the stabilizer of $P(a,a)$ in $\Delta\rtimes C$ is trivial. Therefore, $\Delta\rtimes C$ acts on the set Σ of affine $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational points as a sharply transitive permutation group. In terms of $\mathcal{X}$, the set of all $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational points of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ splits into two orbits under the action of $\Delta\rtimes D_{q-1}$, namely $\Omega_1\cup\Omega_2$ and Σ.

3 Non-classicality of the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve with respect to conics

The theory of non-classical curves is found in [10, Chapters 7,8]. Here we point out that if $q\geq 5$ then the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curves are both non-classical and Frobenius non-classical with respect to conics.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $q\geq 5$. With respect to conics, the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve $\mathcal{C}_q$ is non-classical and has order sequence $(0,1,2,q)$.*

Proof. Let $z_0=1+XY$, $z_1=Y, z_2=X, z_3=0, z_4=1, z_5=0$. The left hand side in Equation (1) can be written as $z_0^q+z_1^qX+z_2^qY+z_3^qX^2+z_4^qXY+z_5Y^2$, and the first assertion follows from [10, Section 7.8]. Take an affine point $P=(u,v)\in\mathcal{C}_q$. A primitive representation of the (unique) branch of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at P is

$$X=u+t, Y=v+v_1t+\ldots+v_it^i+\ldots,. \tag{4}$$

where

$$v_i=\begin{cases}(-1)^i\frac{v^q+v}{(u^q+u)^i} \text{ for } 1\leq i\leq q+1,\ i\neq q;\\ (-1)^q\frac{v^q+v}{(u^q+u)^q}-(v_1^q+v_1) \text{ for } i=q.\end{cases} \tag{5}$$

In particular,

$$v^q+v+v_q(u^q+u)+v_1^q(u^q+u)+v_{q-1}=0, \tag{6}$$

and

$$v_1+v_1^q+v_q+v_{q+1}(u^q+u)=0. \tag{7}$$

From this, the local expansion of z_0,z_1,z_2,z_4 at P are $z_0=1+uv+(v+v_1u)t+\ldots$; $z_1=v+v_1t+\ldots$; $z_2=u+t$; $z_4=1$. From [10, Lemma 7.74], the conic $\mathcal{C}^2$ of equation $(1+uv)^q+v^qX+u^qY+XY=0$ is the hyperosculating conic of $\mathcal{C}_q$ at P with $I(P,\mathcal{C}_q\cap\mathcal{C}^2)\geq q$, and this intersection number is bigger than q if and only if $(v+v_1u)^q+v_1^qu+v=0$. The latter equation is equivalent to $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2\in\mathbb{F}_q$. More precisely, if $I(P,\mathcal{C}_q\cap\mathcal{C}^2)>q$ occurs then $I(P,\mathcal{C}_q\cap\mathcal{C}^2)=q+1$. In fact, $I(P,\mathcal{C}_q\cap\mathcal{C}^2)$ for the hyperosculating conic of $\mathcal{C}_q$ at P can exactly be computed using the above primitive branch representation. From

$$(1+uv)^q+v^q(u+t)+u^q(v+v_1t+v_2t^2+\ldots)+u(v+v_1t+v_2t^2+\ldots)+t(v+v_1t+v_2t^2+\ldots)=0. \tag{8}$$

the coefficients of t and t^i for $i\geq 2$ in (8) are given respectively by

$$v^q+u^qv_1+uv_1+v \text{ and } u^qv_i+uv_i+v_{i-1}, \text{ for } i\geq 2. \tag{9}$$

From (5), $u^qv_i+uv_i+v_{i-1}=0$ holds for $i\leq q-1$. Moreover, from (6), $u^qv_q+uv_q+v_{q-1}=0$ holds if and only if

$$\frac{-v_{q-1}-(v^q+v)-v_1^q(u^q+u)}{u^q+u}=v_q=-\frac{v_{q-1}}{u^q+u},$$

and hence if and only if $(v^q+v)/(u^q+u) = c/(u^q+u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, $I(P, \mathcal{C}_q \cap \mathcal{C}^2) \geq q+1$ if and only if $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Assume that $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. From (7), $u^q v_{q+1} + u v_{q+1} + v_q = 0$ is equivalent to

$$v_1^q + v_1 = 0,$$

and hence to $(c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2)^{q-1} = -1$, a contradiction to $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, $I(P, \mathcal{C}_q \cap \mathcal{C}^2) = q+1$ if and only if $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Therefore, the order sequence of $\mathcal{C}_q$ at P, with respect to conics, is $(0,1,2,q)$ apart from the case $c^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(u)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ where the last order is $q+1$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $q \geq 5$. With respect to conics, the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve $\mathcal{C}_q$ is Frobenius non-classical over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ has order sequence $(0,1,q)$.*

Proof. In fact, if $P(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}_q$, its image $P'(u^{q^2}, v^{q^2})$ by the Frobenius map over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is also a point of $\mathcal{C}_q$. This follows from the fact that the left hand side in (1) can also be written as $z_0 + z_1 X^q + z_2 Y^q + z_3 X^q + z_4 Y^q Y^q + z_5 Y^q$ with $z_0, \ldots, z_5$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. This together with Lemma 3.1 also show that q is a Frobenius order of $\mathcal{C}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ which shows the second assertion. □

4 Adjoint curves of $\mathcal{C}_q$ of degree q

In our proof the divisors $\mathbf{P} = P_1 + \ldots P_q$ and $\mathbf{Q} = Q_1 + \ldots Q_q$ of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{X})$, and their sum $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q}$ play an important role. They define the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{G})$ and we begin by computing its dimension $\ell(\mathbf{G})$.

For this purpose we use a geometric approach by means of the corresponding complete linear series $|\mathtt{G}|$ on $\mathcal{C}_q$ viewed as a projective curve with homogeneous equation $(X_1^q + X_1 X_3^{q-1})(X_2^q + X_2 X_3^{q-1}) - c X_3^{2q} = 0$; see [8, Chapter 3] and [10, Chapter 6.2]. Since $\mathcal{C}_q$ is a plane curve with only ordinary singularities, the divisors of $|\mathtt{G}|$ are cut out on $\mathcal{C}_q$ by certain adjoint curves of a given degree d which are determined in our case as follows. Let $\mathbf{D} = (q-1)\mathbf{G}$ be double-point divisor of $\mathcal{C}_q$. Then a plane curve $\mathcal{F}$ is adjoint if (the intersection divisor) $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{C}_q$ has the property that $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{C}_q \succeq \mathbf{D}$.

Take any adjoint $\mathcal{F}$ of degree d such that $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{C}_q \succeq \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{G}$ and let $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{C}_q - (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{G})$. The adjoint curves Φ with $\deg(\Phi) = l$ such that $\Phi \cdot \mathcal{C}_q \succeq \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{B}$ form a linear system that contains a linear subsystem Λ free from curves having $\mathcal{C}_q$ as a component. The curves in Λ are the adjoints of $|\mathtt{G}|$. The (projective) dimension of $|\mathtt{G}|$ is $\dim(\Lambda)$, that is, the maximum number of linearly independent curves in Λ. Here $\ell(\mathbf{G}) = \dim(\Lambda) + 1$.

In our case, a good choice for $\mathcal{F}$ is the curve of degree $d = q$ which is the line at infinity (of equation $X_3 = 0$) counted q times. In fact, $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{C}_q = q\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{G}$, and hence $\mathbf{B}$ is the zero divisor. Therefore Λ comprises all degree q adjoints of $\mathcal{C}_q$, equivalently all plane curves such that both X_∞ and Y_∞ are (at least) $(q-1)$-fold points. Also, $\deg|\mathtt{G}| = 2q^2 - 2q(q-1) = 2q$

Lemma 4.1. *Every degree q adjoint of $\mathcal{C}_q$ splits into the line at infinity counted $(q-2)$ times and a conic through X_∞ and Y_∞, and the converse also holds.*

Proof. Take a projective plane curve Φ of degree q with affine equation $F(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} F_i(X,Y)$ where $F_i(X,Y)$ is either $F_i(X,Y) = 0$, or a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. If Φ is adjoint then Y_∞ is a $(q-1)$-fold point of Φ and every (vertical) line of equation $X = d$ meets Φ in zero or just one affine point. In the latter case the line is not tangent to Φ at the common affine point. Therefore the polynomial $f_d(Y) = F_i(d,Y)$ has at most one (simple) root. The same holds for the polynomials $g_d(X) = F_i(X,d)$. Therefore, $F_i(X,Y)$ vanishes for $i \geq 3$ while $F_2(X,Y) = dXY$ whence $F(X,Y) = a + b_1 X + b_2 Y + dXY$. This shows that the line at infinity is a $(q-2)$-fold component of Φ, and that the other component is a (possibly degenerate) conic through the points X_∞ and Y_∞. □

From Lemma 4.1, the complete linear series $|\mathtt{G}|$ gives rise to a projective curve $\mathcal{Y}$ of degree $2q$ in the three dimensional projective space $PG(3,\mathbb{K})$ with homogeneous coordinates (Y_1,Y_2,Y_3,Y_4). More precisely, $\mathcal{Y}$ is birationally isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$ by the quadratic morphism $\tau:(X_1,X_2,X_3)\mapsto(X_1X_3,X_2X_3,X_1X_2,X_3^2)$. We go on to determine the images of the branches of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at X_∞ or at Y_∞. A straightforward computation shows that if the branch of $\mathcal{C}_q$ is centered at X_∞ and tangent to the line $Y=d$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(d)=0$, then a primitive branch representation is $X_1=1$, $X_2=dt+ct^{q+1}-ct^{2q}+\ldots$, $X_3=t$. Hence its image by τ has a primitive branch representation $Y_1=1$, $Y_2=dt+ct^{q+1}-ct^{2q}+\ldots$, $Y_3=d+ct^q-ct^{2q-1}+\ldots$, $Y_4=t$. Therefore, the image of the branch is centered at the point $P_d=(1,0,d,0)$ with order sequence $(0,1,q,q+1)$. The q points P_d are pairwise distinct and form a set Ω_1' of collinear points. Similarly, the branch of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at Y_∞ and tangent to the line $X=d$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(d)=0$ is mapped by τ to a branch of $\mathcal{Y}$ centered at the point $Q_d=(0,1,d,0)$ with order sequence $(0,1,q,q+1)$, and the set Ω_2' of these points Q_d has size q and consists of collinear points. The intersection of $\mathcal{Y}$ with the plane H at infinity of $PG(3,q)$ contains $\Omega_1'\cup\Omega_2'$. Since the latter set has size $2q$ and equals the degree of $\mathcal{Y}$, the intersection multiplicity $I(P_d,\mathcal{Y}\cap H)=1$. This shows that each point in $\Omega_1'\cup\Omega_2'$ is a simple point of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Each other point of $\mathcal{Y}$ arises from a (simple) affine point of $\mathcal{C}_q$. From the proof of Lemma 3.1 if the branch of $\mathcal{C}_q$ is centered at the affine point (u,v) with $(u^q+u)(v^q+v)=c$ then a primitive branch representation is

$$X_1=u+t,\ X_2=v+v_1t+v_2t^2+v_3t^3+\ldots,X_3=1 \text{ with } v_i=(-1)^i(v^q+v)/(u^q+u)^i \text{ for } i=1,2,3,\ldots,q-1.$$

Therefore, the image by τ is a branch of $\mathcal{Y}$ centered at the point $R=(u,v,uv,1)$ with a primitive representation

$$Y_1=u+t,\ Y_2=v+v_1t+v_2t^2+v_3t^3+\ldots,\ Y_3=uv+(uv_1+v)t+(uv_2+v_1)t^2+\ldots,\ Y_4=1.$$

Thus, $I(R,\mathcal{H}\cap\mathcal{Y})=1$ for the hyperplane of equation $Y_1=uY_0$ while $I(R,\mathcal{H}\cap\mathcal{Y})=2$ for the hyperplane of equation $Y_2-vY_0=v_1(Y_1-uY_0)$. This shows that $0,1,2$ are orders of $\mathcal{Y}$ at R. Furthermore, $I(R,\mathcal{H}\cap\mathcal{Y})\geq q$ for the hyperplane arising from the hyperosculating conic of $\mathcal{C}_q$ at $P(u,v)$, that is, for the hyperplane of equation $(1+uv)^qY_4^2+v^qY_1Y_4+u^qY_2Y_4+Y_1Y_2=0$. As we have pointed out in the proof of Lemma 3.1, $I(R,\mathcal{H}\cap\mathcal{Y})=q$ apart from a finite number of points R arising from affine points of $\mathcal{C}_q$ where the order sequence is $(0,1,2,q+1)$. Therefore, the order sequence of $\mathcal{Y}$ is $(0,1,2,q)$, and the third order at a point of $\mathcal{Y}$ is bigger than 2 if and only if that point arises from a branch of $\mathcal{C}_q$ centered at X_∞ or Y_∞.

Since different points (u,v) are taken by τ to different points, the curve $\mathcal{Y}$ is nonsingular, and branches may be identified by their centers.

Theorem 4.2. *The Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve has a nonsingular model $\mathcal{Y}$ in $PG(3,\mathbb{K})$. The curve $\mathcal{Y}$ has degree $2q$ and if $q\geq 5$ then it is non-classical with order sequence $(0,1,2,q)$ and also Frobenius non-classical over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with order sequence $(0,1,q)$.*

5 The automorphism group of the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve

From the discussion in Section 4, the linear series cut out on $\mathcal{Y}$ by planes is complete. By [10, Theorem 11.18], this yields that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ can be represented by a linear group G of $PG(3,\mathbb{K})$ which leaves the set of point of $\mathcal{Y}$ invariant. Furthermore, every map in G preserves the order sequence at any point of $\mathcal{Y}$. This proves the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *G leaves $\Omega_1'\cup\Omega_2'$ invariant.*

More precisely, the following result holds.

Lemma 5.2. *G contains a subgroup of index ≤ 2 that leaves both Ω_1' and Ω_2' invariant.*

Proof. As we have pointed out in Section 4, both Ω_1' and Ω_2' consist of collinear points. Since their size is equal to $q > 2$, any linear map leaving $\Omega_1' \cup \Omega_2'$ invariant acts on it either interchanging Ω_1' with Ω_2', or leaving both invariant. Those maps in G which act as in the latter case form a subgroup of index ≤ 2. □

We are in a position to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *The automorphism group of the Artin-Schreier-Mumford curve has order $2q^2(q-1)$ and it is the semidirect product $\Delta \rtimes D_{q-1}$ where Δ is an elementary abelian (normal) subgroup of order q^2, and D_{q-1} is a dihedral group of order $2(q-1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, a subgroup H of G of index ≤ 2 leaves both Ω_1' and Ω_2' invariant. As we have shown in Section 4, the points of Ω_1' lie on the line ℓ_1 of equation $X_2 = X_4 = 0$ while those of Ω_2' on the line ℓ_2 of equation $X_1 = X_4 = 0$. These lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are incident as $Z_\infty(0,0,1,0)$ is their common point. Hence H fixes Z_∞.

Take $h \in H$ with its 4×4 matrix representation $M = (m_{ij})$. Then $m_{13} = m_{23} = m_{03} = 0$ as h fixes Z_∞. Also, $m_{12} = m_{42} = 0$ as h leaves Ω_1' invariant. Similarly, $m_{21} = m_{41} = 0$ as h leaves Ω_2' invariant. Therefore,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & 0 & 0 & m_{14} \\ 0 & m_{12} & 0 & m_{24} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which shows that h induces a linear map on $\mathcal{C}_q$. Therefore $h \in \Delta \rtimes C_{q-1}$, and hence $H = \Delta \rtimes C_{q-1}$. Since $[G : H] \leq 2$ and $[\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) : (\Delta \rtimes C_{q-1})] = 2$, this yields $[G : H] = 2$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) = \Delta \rtimes D_{q-1}$. □

References

[1] N. Arakelian and G. Korchmáros, A characterization of the Artin-Mumford curve, J. Number Theory 154 (2015), 278-291.

[2] G. Cornelissen and F. Kato, Equivariant deformation of Mumford curves and of ordinary curves in positive characteristic, *Duke Math. J* **116** (2003), no.3, 431–470.

[3] G. Cornelissen, F. Kato and A. Kontogeorgis, Discontinuous groups in positive characteristic and automorphisms of Mumford curves, *Math. Ann.* **320** (2001), no.1, 55–85.

[4] G. Cornelissen and F. Kato, Mumford curves with maximal automorphism group, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **132** (2004), no.7, 1937–1941.

[5] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, Large 2-groups of automorphisms of algebraic curves over a field of characteristic 2, *J. Algebra* **427** (2015), 264-294.

[6] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, Automorphism groups of algebraic curves with p-rank zero, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **81** (2010), 277-296.

[7] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, Algebraic curves with a large non-tame automorphism group fixing no point, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 5983-6001.

[8] V.D. Goppa, *Geometry and Codes*, in: Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 24 (N. G. Shartse, Trans.), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group,Dordrecht, 1988.

[9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective geometries over finite fields,* Second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. *The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press*, New York, (1998).

[10] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *Algebraic Curves over a Finite Field, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics*, Princeton, (2008).

[11] G. Korchmáros and M. Montanucci, Ordinary algebraic curves with many automorphisms in positive characteristic, arXiv:1610.05252, 2016.

[12] S. Nakajima, p-ranks and automorphism groups of algebraic curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 595-607.

[13] R.C. Valentini and M.L. Madan, A Hauptsatz of L.E. Dickson and Artin–Schreier extensions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **318** (1980), 156–177.

Gábor KORCHMÁROS and Maria MONTANUCCI
Dipartimento di Matematica, Informatica ed Economia
Università degli Studi della Basilicata
Contrada Macchia Romana
85100 Potenza (Italy).
E–mail: gabor.korchmaros@unibas.it and maria.montanucci@unibas.it